

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/004,287	BROWNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Venkataraman Balasubramanian.

**Status of Application:** After Final Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William Kezer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 May 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 1, 43 and 64.*

Claims discussed:

1, 43 and 64

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendment to claims 1, 43 and 64, raised a 112 second paragraph issue. Counselor agreed to replace " and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" with " or pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof". Such will be done by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)